

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,808	02/11/2002	Brian T. Holland	CM-103A US	4382	
24804 7	24804 7590 10/03/2003 S.C. JOHNSON COMMERCIAL MARKETS INC 8310 16TH STREET, M/S 510			EXAMINER	
				SHAKERI, HADI	
PO BOX 902	REET, M/S 510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STURTEVAN	T, WI 53177-0902	3723			
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	( <b>(4.14)</b> )	d				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/073,808	HOLLAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hadi Shakeri	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
Application Papers	oloolion roquiromoni.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	- ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/073,808 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-17, drawn to composition for stone surfaces, classified in class 125, subclass 30.01.
  - II. Claims 18-20, drawn to method of restoring a stone surface, classified in class451, subclass 41.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, e.g., buffing or polishing a stone surface using a composition other that the one claimed in Group I.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- **4.** Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for either Group is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Ms. Renee Rymarz on 09/23/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of the invention of Group I, claims 1 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,808

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-8, 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by George et al., US Patent No. 4,738,876.

George et al. discloses all the limitations of claims 1, and 12, i.e., a composition comprising organic acid, a metal oxide (color enhancer/hardeners), and a plasticizer, e.g., linear alcohol or secondary alcohol, wherein the organic acid is about 1 to 50 weight percent (claim 3); metal oxide is about 1-50 (col. 3, last line) and the "plasticizer" is about 0 to 5 percent (claim 7).

Regarding claims 2-8, 10, 11 and 13-17, George et al. meets the limitations, e.g., dispersant, thickener (col. 3, lines 49-53 and col. 5, lines 20-37); water and wherein the composition is used to buff a stone surface.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**9.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/073,808 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George et al.

George et al. discloses all the limitations of the above claim, except for the size of the metal oxides. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific sizes recited, e.g., 100 nanometer, depending on the workpiece and/or operational parameters, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

### Conclusion

- 11. Prior art made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grumbine, et al., Rolando et al., Sandusky et al., Lum et al. and Hamilton are cited to show related inventions.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hadi Shakeri at (703) 308-6279, FAX (703) 746-3279 for unofficial documents. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM. All official documents may be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

Hadi Shakeri Patent Examiner

September 29, 2003